

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,795	04/21/2004	Eckard Weber	OREX.001A	5046
20995	7590 07/27/2006		EXAM	INER
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			ZHANG, NANCY L	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Commence	10/828,795	WEBER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nancy L. Zhang	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 8-11, 19 and 22-37 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 8-11, 19 and 22-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all all accomposed and accomposed are all all accomposed and accomposed are all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,795

Art Unit: 1614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 8-11, 19 and 22-37 are pending and being considered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 8-9 and 36-37, drawn to compositions of naltrexone and bupropion, classified in class 514, subclass 282 and 657. If this group is elected, the below summarized species election is also required.
- II. Claims 10-11, 19 and 22-35, drawn to methods of using compositions of naltrexone and bupropion for weight loss, classified in class 514, subclass 282 and 657. If this group is elected, the below summarized species election is also required.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product because compositions of naltrexone and bupropion can be used to treat depression.

Art Unit: 1614

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Species Election for Group I

Invention in Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

A composition comprising

- (A) naltrexone as the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and bupropion as the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound
- (B) a prodrug of naltrexone as the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and bupropion as the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound
- (C) naltrexone as the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and a prodrug of bupropion as the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound
- (D) a prodrug of naltrexone as the  $1^{\rm st}$  compound and a prodrug of bupropion as the  $2^{\rm nd}$  compound

The species are independent or distinct because a prodrug refers to an agent that is converted into the parent drug in vivo. Prodrugs and their parent drug are different compounds having different structures, chemistry and binding activities.

Searching for one compound is not likely to result in finding art pertinent to any other compounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,795

Art Unit: 1614

## Species Election for Group II

Invention in Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

A method for weight loss by administering

- (A) naltrexone as the 1st compound and bupropion as the 2nd compound
- (B) a prodrug of naltrexone as the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and bupropion as the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound
- (C) naltrexone as the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and a prodrug of bupropion as the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound
- (D) a prodrug of naltrexone as the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and a prodrug of bupropion as the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound

The species are independent or distinct because a prodrug refers to an agent that is converted into the parent drug in vivo. Prodrugs and their parent drug are different compounds having different structures, chemistry and binding activities. Searching for one compound is not likely to result in finding art pertinent to any other compounds.

In addition, further species election is required for the sequence of using the two compounds:

- (a) 1<sup>st</sup> compound and 2<sup>nd</sup> compound are administered together as a composition
- (b) 1<sup>st</sup> compound is administered prior to the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound is administered

Art Unit: 1614

(c) 1<sup>st</sup> compound is administered subsequent to the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound is administered

The above species are distinct because the 1<sup>st</sup> compound and the 2<sup>nd</sup> compound are two different compounds having different structures and binding activities. The biological effects would be different when two different compounds are administered together or administered separately and therefore resulting in different pharmaceutically effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 8 and 36 in Group I are generic and all claims in Group II are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,795

Art Unit: 1614

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy L. Zhang whose telephone number is (571)-272-8270. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. 8:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,795 Page 8

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nlm 7/17/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER